### DEHESA SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION M AND D BUILDING FUND GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

AUDIT REPORT

**JUNE 30, 2015** 

### DEHESA SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION M AND D BUILDING FUND GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	<u>Page</u>
Introduction and Citizens' Oversight Committee Member Listing	1
Independent Auditor's Report	2
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Balance Sheet	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	5
Notes to the Financial Statements	6
OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	15
Independent Auditors' Report on Performance	17
FINDINGS AND RESPONSES SECTION	
Schedule of Findings and Responses	20
Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	21
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	
Proposition M and D Building Fund Bond Issuance	22

### DEHESA SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PROPOSITION M AND PROPOSITION D INTRODUCTION AND CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MEMBER LISTING

On November 2, 2010 the Dehesa School District was successful under Proposition M in obtaining District voters to issue up to \$5,500,000 in General Obligation Bonds pursuant to a 55% vote in a bond election. On November 6, 2012 the Dehesa School District was successful under Proposition D in obtaining re-authorization from District voters to issue up to \$3,000,000 in general obligation bonds previously approved in November 2010 pursuant to a 55% vote in a bond election. Based on the reauthorization, ultimately \$3,000,000 will be canceled from the corresponding amount from Proposition M as Proposition D bonds are sold. The General Obligation Bonds are considered Proposition 39 bonds. The passage of Proposition 39 in November 2000 amended the California Constitution to include accountability measures. Specifically, the District must conduct an annual, independent performance audit to ensure that funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed as well as an annual independent financial audit of the proceeds from the sale of the bonds until all of the proceeds have been expended.

Upon passage of Proposition 39, an accompanying piece of legislation, AB 1908 was also enacted, which amended the Education Code to establish additional procedures which must be followed if a District seeks approval of a bond measure pursuant to the 55% majority authorized in Proposition 39 including formation, composition and purpose of the Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee, and authorization for injunctive relief against improper expenditure of bond revenues.

The Dehesa School District Proposition M and D Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee as of June 30, 2015 was comprised of the following members:

Name	Position		
Nathan Voth	Chair		
Wally Riggs	Vice Chair		
Chuck Huskey	Member		
Mike Hunsacker	Member		
Herb Krickhahn	Member		
Jerry Hamilton	Member		
Vincent Blanco	Member		



P. Robert Wilkinson, CPA Brian K. Hadley, CPA

Aubrey W. King, CPA Kevin A. Sproule, CPA

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Governing Board Members and Citizens' Oversight Committee Dehesa School District El Cajon, California

### **Report on Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Proposition M and D Building Fund (21) of Dehesa School District, which comprise the balance sheet as of June 30, 2015, and the related statement of revenue, expenditures, and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit basis.

### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note B, the financial statements present only the Building Fund (21) which is specific to Proposition M and D and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of Dehesa School District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Proposition M and D Building Fund (21) of Dehesa School District as of June 30, 2015, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Wilkinson Hadley King & Co., LLP

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated January 26, 2016, on our consideration of Dehesa School District's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grants agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal controls over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

El Cajon, California



### DEHESA SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION M AND D BUILDING FUND GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2015

### **ASSETS**

CURRENT ASSETS Cash in county treasury Accounts receivable	\$ 3,155,567 3,526
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 3,159,093
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Accounts payable	\$ 179,406
TOTAL LIABILITIES	179,406
FUND BALANCE Restricted for capital projects	2,979,687
TOTAL FUND BALANCE	2,979,687
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	\$ 3,159,093

# DEHESA SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION M AND D BUILDING FUND GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

REVENUES	
Interest income	\$ 13,549
TOTAL REVENUES	13,549
EXPENDITURES	27.500
Services and other operating expenses	27,599
Capital outlay:	
Building and building improvements	1,060,284
Architect fees	90,077
Construction services	54,018
Soils testing	29,960
Bond administration fees	9,350
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,271,288
EXCESS OF EXPENDITURES OVER REVENUES	(1,257,739)
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	(1,257,739)
FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR	4,237,426
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 2,979,687

### A. Definition of the Fund

The Building Fund (21) was formed to account for construction as well as renovation of current schools for the Dehesa School District (District), through expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under Proposition M General Obligation Bonds Election of 2010 and Proposition D reauthorization General Obligation Bonds Election of 2012.

### **B.** Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and Audits of State and Local Governmental Units issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

### Fund Structure

The accompanying financial statements are used to account for the transactions of the Building Fund (21) specific to Proposition M and D as defined in Note A and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of Dehesa School District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The Building Fund (21) is maintained on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Its revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered). With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources.

### Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The Board of Trustees adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with state law. This budget is revised by the Board of Trustees during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

### B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

### Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated at June 30 since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Cash in County Treasury

In accordance with Education Code §41001, the District maintains a substantial amount of its cash in the San Diego County Treasury. The County pools these funds with those of other districts in the County and invests the cash. These pooled funds are carried at cost, which approximates fair value, in accordance with the requirements of GASB Statement No. 31.

Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

The county is authorized to deposit cash and invest excess funds by California Government Code §53648 et.seq. The funds maintained by the county are either secured by federal depository insurance or are collateralized.

Information regarding the amount of dollars invested in derivatives with San Diego County Treasury was not available.

### Receivables and Payables

Transactions between funds that are representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as interfund receivables/payables. Accounts receivable are recorded net of estimated uncollectible amounts. There were no significant receivables that are not scheduled for collection within one year of year-end.

### B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Fund Balance Reserves and Designations

Fund balances of the governmental funds are classified as follows:

Nonspendable Fund Balance – represents amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form (such as inventory or prepaid insurance) or legally required to remain intact (such as notes receivable or principal of a permanent fund).

Restricted Fund Balance – represents amounts that are constrained by external parties, constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Committed Fund Balance – represents amounts that can only be used for a specific purpose because of a formal action by the District's governing board. Committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the governing board removes those constraints by taking the same type of formal action. Committed fund balance amounts may be used for other purposes with appropriate due process by the governing board. Commitments are typically done through adoption and amendment of the budget. Committed fund balance amounts differ from restricted balances in that the constraints on their use do not come from outside parties, constitutional provisions, or enabling legislation.

Assigned Fund Balance – represents amounts which the District intends to use for a specific purpose, but that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed. Intent may be stipulated by the governing board or by an official or body to which the governing board delegates the authority. Specific amounts that are not restricted or committed in a special revenue, capital projects, debt service or permanent fund are assigned for purposes in accordance with the nature of their fund type or the fund's primary purpose. Assignments within the general fund conveys that the intended use of those amounts is for a specific purpose that is narrower than the general purposes of the District itself.

Unassigned Fund Balance – represents amounts which are unconstrained in that they may be spent for any purpose. Only the general fund reports a positive unassigned fund balance. Other governmental funds might report a negative balance in this classification because of overspending for specific purposes for which amounts has been restricted, committed or assigned.

When an expenditure is incurred for a purpose for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds.

### B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Deferred Inflows and Deferred Outflows of Resources

Deferred outflows of resources is a consumption of net assets or net position that is applicable to a future reporting period. Deferred inflows of resources is an acquisition of net assets or net position that is applicable to a future reporting period. Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources are recorded in accordance with GASB Statement numbers 63 and 65. At June 30, 2015 the District's Proposition M and D Building Fund (21) did not have any deferred inflows or deferred outflows of resources.

### C. Cash and Investments

Cash in County Treasury

The District maintains significantly all of its cash in the San Diego County Treasury as part of the common investment pool. As of June 30, 2015, the cash in county treasury in Building Fund (21) was \$3,155,567. The fair value of the Building Fund's portion of this pool as of that date, as provided by the pool sponsor, was \$3,155,567. Assumptions made in determining the fair value of the pooled investment portfolios are available from the County Treasurer.

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (Education Code Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investments in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements as amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of the portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

Analysis of Specific Deposit and Investment Risks

GASB Statement No. 40 requires a determination as to whether the District was exposed to the following specific investment risks at year end and if so, the reporting of certain related disclosures:

### a. Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or their counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The county is restricted by Government Code Section 53635 pursuant to Section 53601 to invest only in time deposits, U.S. government securities, state registered warrants, notes or bonds, State Treasurer's investment pool, bankers' acceptances, commercial paper, negotiable certificates of deposit, and repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements. The ratings of securities by nationally recognized rating agencies are designed to give an indication of credit risk. At year end, the District was not exposed to credit risk. The San Diego County Investment Pool is rated AAA by Standard & Poors.

### C. Cash and Investments (Continued)

### b. Custodial Credit Risk

Deposits are exposed to custodial credit risk if they are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the District's name. At year end, the District was not exposed to custodial credit risk.

### c. Concentration of Credit Risk

This risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. At year end, the District was not exposed to concentration of credit risk.

### d. Interest Rate Risk

This is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposures to interest rate risk by investing in the county pool.

### e. Foreign Currency Risk

This is the risk that exchange rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. At year end, the District was not exposed to foreign currency risk.

### f. Investment Accounting Policy

The District is required by GASB statement No. 31 to disclose its policy for determining which investments, if any are reported at amortized cost. The District's general policy is to report money market investments and short-term participating interest-earning investment contracts using a cost-based measure; however, if the fair value of an investment is significantly affected by the impairment of the credit standing of the issuer or by other factors, it is reported at fair value.

All other investments are reported at fair value unless a legal contract exists which guarantees a higher value. The term "short-term" refers to investments which have a remaining term of one year or less at time of purchase. The term "nonparticipating" means that the investment's value does not vary with market interest rate changes. Nonnegotiable certificates of deposit are examples of nonparticipating interest-earning investment contracts.

### D. Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2015 consisted of:

Interest

\$ 3,526

### E. Accounts Payable

Accounts payable at June 30, 2015 consisted of:

Vendor payables

\$ 179,406

### F. Long-Term Debt

The outstanding bonded debt as of June 30, 2015 is as follows:

Governmental Activities:	1	Beginning Balance	<u>Ir</u>	ncreases	D	ecreases	Ending Balance
General obligation bonds Accreted interest Bond premium	\$	4,590,844 16,047 365,198	\$	- 22,333	\$	- - 12,436	\$ 4,590,844 38,380 352,762
Total	\$	4,972,089	\$	22,333	\$	12,436	\$ 4,981,986

### General Obligation Bonds

In July 2012, the District issued \$2,499,852, Election of 2010, Series A, General Obligation Bonds in order to "improve student access to computers and modern technology; replace portable classrooms and construct new classrooms; build a new science lab and library and other educational facilities". The issue consisted of \$190,000 of current interest Serial Bonds, \$2,225,000 of current interest Term Bonds, and \$84,852 of Capital Appreciation Bonds. The bonds have interest rates ranging from 3.00% to 4.00%. Principal payments are made August 1 of each year beginning August 1, 2013 while interest payments are made semi-annually on February 1 and August 1 of each year. The Bonds mature August 1, 2043. The Bonds were sold at a premium. At June 30, 2015 the balance owing on the 2010 Series A General Obligation Bonds was \$2,419,852.

### F. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

In June 2014 the District issued \$2,170,992, Election of 2012, Series A, General Obligation Bonds (GO Reauthorization Bonds) in order to "increase student computer technology access; repair, equip and construct classrooms, build a new science lab and library and other educational facilities; and reduce overall borrowing costs". The issue consisted of \$1,775,000 of current interest Serial Bonds, and \$395,992 of Capital Appreciation Bonds. The bonds have interest rates ranging from 1.46% to 5.11%. Principal payments are made August 1 of each year beginning August 1, 2017 while interest payments are made semi-annually on February 1 and August 1 of each year. The Bonds mature August 1, 2044. The Bonds were sold at a premium. At June 30, 2015 the balance owing on the 2012 Series A General Obligation Bonds was \$2,170,992.

General Obligation Bonds at June 30, 2015 consisted of the following:

							A	Amount of		
		Date of	Iı	nterest	M	aturity		Original		
		Issuance		Rate		Rate		Date		Issue
2010 Election Series A	0	7/12/2012	3.0	0-4.00%	08/	01/2043	\$	2,499,852		
2012 Election Series A	0	6/01/2014	1.4	6-5.11%	08/	01/2044		2,170,992		
							\$	4,670,844		
		Beginning						Ending		
		Balance	In	creases	De	creases		Balance		
2010 Election Series A	\$	2,419,852	\$	-	\$		\$	2,419,852		
2010 Accreted Interest		11,272		8,255		-		19,527		
2012 Election Series A		2,170,992		•		-		2,170,992		
2012 Accreted Interest		4,775		14,078		•		18,853		
Total GO Bonds	\$	4,606,891	\$	22,333	\$	•	\$	4,629,224		

### F. Long-Term Debt (Continued)

The annual requirements to amortize the general obligation bonds payable outstanding as of June 30, 2015 is as follows:

Year Ending		A	Accreted	7		
June 30,	Principal	]	Interest	 Interest		Total
2016	\$ 	\$		\$ 195,363	\$	195,363
2017	_		-	195,363		195,363
2018	4,775		225	195,362		200,362
2019	24,263		737	195,063		220,063
2020	23,942		1,058	194,463		219,463
2021-2025	262,326		27,674	956,924		1,246,924
2026-2030	484,024		105,976	910,425		1,500,425
2031-2035	543,388		421,764	843,437		1,808,589
2036-2040	1,238,126		216,874	711,018		2,166,018
2041-2045	 2,010,000		-	290,586	_	2,300,586
Totals	\$ 4,590,844	\$	774,308	\$ 4,688,004	\$	10,053,156

Note: Amounts represented in the repayment schedule of accreted interest are reflective of 100% of amounts to be repaid. Amounts represented as accreted interest in the debt summary are reflective of amounts that have been accrued as of June 30, 2015.

Accreted interest is the process of systematically increasing the carrying amount of capital appreciation bonds to their estimated value at the maturity date of the bond. The district inputs the effective interest rate, using the present value, the face value, and the period of the bond and multiplies the effective interest rate by the book value of the debt at the end of the period.

### G. Bond Premium

Bond premium arises when the market rate of interest is higher than the stated interest rate on the bond. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) require that the premium increase the face value of the bond and then amortize the premium over the life of the bond. The premiums are amortized over the life of the bond using the effective interest rate method.

### G. Bond Premium (Continued)

The following bonds were issued at a premium resulting in effective interest as follows:

		2010		2012		
		Series A		Series A		
	Bonds			Bonds		
Total Interest	\$	3,075,100	\$	2,659,700		
Less Bond Premium		(223,578)		(156,719)		
Net Interest	\$	2,851,522	\$	2,502,981		
Par Amounts of Bonds	\$	2,499,582	\$	2,170,992		
Periods		30		30		
Effective Interest Rate		3.80%		3.84%		

### H. Construction Commitments

As of June 30, 2015, the District's Proposition M and D Building Fund (21) had the following commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects:

			*Expected Date of
	C	ommitment	Final Completion
Construction in process:		_	
Dehesa Elementary Two-Story Building	\$	5,425,706	January 2016

<sup>\*</sup>Expected date of completion subject to change

OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS



P. Robert Wilkinson, CPA Brian K. Hadley, CPA Aubrey W. King, CPA Kevin A. Sproule, CPA

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board Members and Citizens Oversight Committee Dehesa School District El Cajon, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Proposition M and D Building Fund (21) of Dehesa School District, which comprise the balance sheet as of June 30, 2015, and the related statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 26, 2016.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Dehesa School District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Dehesa School District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Dehesa School District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

El Cajon, California January 26, 2016

Wilkinson Hadley King & Co., LLP



P. Robert Wilkinson, CPA Brian K. Hadley, CPA Aubrey W. King, CPA Kevin A. Sproule, CPA

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board Members and Citizens Bond Oversight Committee Dehesa School District El Cajon, California

We have audited the financial statements of the Proposition M and D Building Fund (21) of Dehesa School District as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 and have issued our report thereon dated January 26, 2016. Our audit was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in the United States of America and, accordingly, included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

In connection with our performance audit, we performed an audit for compliance as required in the performance requirements for the Proposition 39 Proposition M and D General Obligation Bonds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. The objective of the audit of compliance applicable to Dehesa School District is to determine with reasonable assurance that:

- The proceeds from the sale of the Proposition M and D Bonds were only used for the purposes set forth in the ballot measure and not for any other purpose, such as teacher and administrative salaries.
- The Governing Board of the District, in establishing the approved projects set forth in the ballot measure, will modernize, replace, renovate, construct, acquire, equip, furnish, and otherwise improve facilities of the District as noted in the bond project list.

In performing our audit of compliance, we performed procedures including but not limited to those listed as follows:

### **Internal Control Evaluation**

### Procedure Performed:

Inquiries were made of management regarding internal controls to:

- > Prevent fraud, waste, or abuse regarding Proposition M and D resources
- > Prevent material misstatement in the Proposition M and D Building Fund financial statements
- > Ensure all expenditures are properly allocated
- Ensure adequate separation of duties exists in the accounting of Proposition M and D funds

All purchase requisitions and expenditures are reviewed for proper supporting documentation. The Project Manager/Consultant submits supporting documentation to the Superintendent to initiate a contract for services and/or a purchase requisition. Purchase Orders are generated by the Administrative Secretary and routed to the Business Manager for approval. The Business Manager verifies that the requested purchase is within the scope and parameters of the approved budget and that all expenditures are properly recorded by project expense and category. When the invoice is received, the Project Manager/Consultant verifies that the services have been satisfactorily rendered and that charges are consistent with the amounts approved in the contract/purchase order. The invoice is approved by the Project Manager/Consultant and then routed to the Business Manager to process for payment through the District's accounts payable system. The payment request and supporting documentation is provided to the San Diego County Office of Education for audit review and compliance purposes.

### Results of Procedures Performed

The results of our audit determined the internal control procedures as implemented are sufficient to meet the financial and compliance objectives required by generally accepted accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations.

### **Facilities Site Review**

### Procedures Performed:

We reviewed the Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee minutes and agenda and other pertinent information on Proposition M and D designated projects and determined that Proposition M and D funds expended for the year ended June 30, 2015 were for valid facilities acquisition and construction purposes as stated in the Bond Project List.

### Results of Procedures Performed:

Based on our review of the minutes and agenda of the Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee, the documentation and pertinent information of the Proposition M and D designated projects, and the explanation of management, it appears the construction work performed was consistent with the Bond Project List.

### **Tests of Expenditures**

### **Procedures Performed:**

We tested expenditures to determine whether Proposition M and D funds were spent solely on voter and Board approved school facilities projects as set forth in the Bond Project List and the Proposition M and D ballot measure language. Our testing included a sample of vendor payments totaling approximately \$1,262,437 or approximately 99% of total expenditures for the year. Our testing included \$1,242,151 of capital outlay expenditures which was 99% of capital outlay expenses.

### Results of Procedures Performed:

We found no instances where expenditures tested were not in compliance with the terms of the Proposition M and D ballot measure and applicable state laws and regulations.

### **Tests of Contracts and Bid Procedures**

### Procedures Performed:

We reviewed the District's board minutes for approval of construction contracts and change orders, if any, to determine compliance with the District's policy and Public Contract Code provisions related to biddings and contracting.

### Results of Procedures Performed:

We noted no instances where the District was out of compliance with respect to contracts and bidding procedures.

### Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee

### Procedures Performed:

We have reviewed the minutes of the Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee meetings and have attended committee meetings to verify compliance with Education Code sections 15278 through 15282.

### Results of Procedures Performed:

We have determined the Dehesa School District's Proposition M and D Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee and its involvement is in compliance with Education Code sections 15278 through 15282.

Our audit of compliance made for the purposes set forth in the second and third paragraphs of this report above would not necessarily disclose all instances of noncompliance.

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements for the Proposition M and D Building Fund, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the District's Governing Board, the Proposition M and D Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee, management, others within the entity, and the taxpayers of Dehesa School District and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

El Cajon, California

Wilkinson Hadley King & Co., LLP

January 26, 2016



### DEHESA SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION M AND D BUILDING FUND SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

There were no findings to report.

### DEHESA SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION M AND D BUILDING FUND SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

There were no findings reported in the June 30, 2014 audit.



### DEHESA SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION M AND D BUILDING FUND GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS BOND ISSUANCE JUNE 30, 2015

### AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The Bonds are issued pursuant to the provisions and requirements of Article 2 of Chapter 1.5 of Part 5 of Division 1 of Title 1 of the California Education Code 15264 et seq., and pursuant to Education Code Section 35160 and other applicable law, and pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District on January 22, 2009 (the "District Resolution") and a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on February 10, 2009 (the "Bond Resolution" and together with the District Resolution, the "Resolution").

The District received authorization at an election held on November 2, 2010, by an affirmative vote of 58.5 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the "Authorization") under Proposition M to issue and not exceed \$5,500,000 of General Obligation Bonds. 2010 Election Series A (the "Series A Bonds") were issued pursuant to the resolution in the amount of \$2,499,852 in July 2012. The Bonds represent the first series of Bonds sold under the Authorization.

The District received re-authorization at an election held on November 6, 2012, by an affirmative vote of 55.9 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the "Re-Authorization") under Proposition D to re-authorize and issue and not to exceed \$3,000,000 of General Obligation Reauthorization Bonds. 2012 Election Series A (the "Series A Bonds") were issued pursuant to the resolution in the amount of \$2,170,992 in June 2014. The bonds represent the first series of bonds sold under the re-authorization.

### PURPOSE OF ISSUE

Pursuant to the Authorization, the proceeds of the Bonds will be used to improve student access to computers and modern technology; replace portable classrooms and construct new classrooms; build a new science lab and library and other educational facilities; increase student computer technology access; repair, equip and construct classrooms; and reduce overall borrowing costs.